

Publicly Listed Company
CNPJ/MF - 33.000.167/0001-01
NIRE - 33300032061

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify, for all due purposes, that the Board of Directors of Petróleo Brasileiro S.A. - Petrobras (Company), at a meeting held on April 14, 2023 (Meeting no. 1.708), chaired by the Chairman of the Board Gileno Gurjão Barreto, with the participation of Board Members Iêda Aparecida de Moura Cagni and Rosangela Buzanelli Torres and Board Members Edison Antônio Costa Britto Garcia, Francisco Petros Oliveira Lima Papathanasiadis Jônathas Assunção Salvador Nery de Castro, José João AbdallaFilho, Marcelo Gasparino da Silva, Marcelo Mesquita de Siqueira Filho and Ricardo Soriano de Alencar, without the participation, for justified reason, of Board Member Jean Paul Terra Prates, deliberated, among others, on the subject transcribed below:

CONTINUATION OF ANALYSIS OF NOMINATIONS FOR THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND BOARD OF AUDITORS OF PETROBRAS:

- The President of the Board of Directors Gileno Gurjão Barreto submitted to the Collegiate the manifestations of the Personnel/Eligibility Committee about the compliance with the requirements and the pertinent prohibitions, in attention to paragraph 4 of Article 21 of Decree No. 8,945/2016, as amended by Decree No. 11,048/2022, of the nominations of Ms. Anelize Lenzi Ruas de Almeida and Messrs. Cristina Bueno Camatta, Lucia Maria Guimarães Cavalcanti and Michele da Silva Gonsales Torres and of Aloisio Macário Ferreira de Souza, Daniel Cabaleiro Saldanha and João Vicente Silva Machado for Petrobras' Fiscal Council. It is clarified that Ms. Anelize Lenzi Ruas de Almeida and Mr. Renato Campos Galuppo, pursuant to Official Letter no. 189/2023/GM-MME, from the Ministry of Mines and Energy, were presented by the Controlling Shareholder, together with the name of Mr. Evamar José dos Santos (the latter already analyzed in the Board meeting of March 29, 2023, RCA 1,707), *"in a supplementary manner, so that they may also be evaluated, and if found to be impediments that make it impossible for any of the*

nominees to continue, they may substitute without delay when the assembly is held".

DECISION

About the nomination, made by Controlling Shareholder in accordance with Letter No. 189/2023/GM-MME, from the Ministry of Mines and Energy, of Ms. **ANELIZE LENZI RUAS DE ALMEIDA** for the Board of Directors of Petrobras, she was, by majority vote, considered **eligible** by the Board of Directors, which fully accepted and followed the respective analysis and mitigating measures of the Personnel/Eligibility Committee, where she had also been considered eligible by a majority, with the additional records set forth below. Councilor Rosangela Buzanelli Torres voted for the ineligibility of Ms. Anelize Lenzi Ruas de Almeida, following the minority position in COPE/CELEG, that the conflict of interests between the nominee, current Attorney General of the National Treasury, and the exercise of the intended position, is undeniable and insurmountable, attracting the prohibition of item V of §2 of article 17 of Law no. 13.303/2016, item X of article 29 of Decree No. 8,945/2016 and item IX of §2 of article 21 of Petrobras' Bylaws, and consistent with the position of the Board of Directors at the Collegiate meeting of 18-7-2022 (RCA 1,691), when the then Attorney General of the National Treasury was also deemed ineligible for the Board of Directors of Petrobras. Councilor Francisco Petros Oliveira Lima Papathanasiadis voted the same way as Councilor Rosangela Buzanelli Torres, for the ineligibility of Ms. Anelize Lenzi Ruas de Almeida for the Petrobras Board of Directors, due to conflict of interest with the Company, under the terms of the provisions cited by the Councilor and the precedent of the Board meeting of 18-7-2022 (RCA 1.691) for the ineligibility of the occupant of the position of Attorney General of the National Treasury; reinforced his understanding that this is a formal restriction, a conflict of an extensive and not restrictive nature, not only for tax causes; pondered that a person who is a lawyer for a certain company/institution in a certain action or lawsuit cannot act against this same company/institution in another action or lawsuit, because the conflict would be evident, and it is not possible to lawyer on behalf of someone against whom you act in another action, due to the extensive nature of this conflict; that this is so true that one of the recommendations of the Compliance area of Petrobras itself is that, when dealing with a Board Member-lawyer, his/her respective law firm is forbidden to provide services to clients in lawsuits where Petrobras, its equity holdings, suppliers or competitors figure as a party; that the position of the nominee also generates a conflict for her to assess the Company's tax liabilities in the Financial Statements; that the person

ends up holding relevant information of the Company that is incompatible with his/her performance in a body that files lawsuits against the Company itself. Board Member Francisco Petros Oliveira Lima Mr. Papathanasiadis also registered that his position has nothing to do with the person of Mrs. Anelize Lenzi Ruas de Almeida, but rather with an institutional and objective position regarding his view of the incompatibility of the position of Attorney General of the National Treasury with the position of Director of Petrobras. Board Member Edison Antônio Costa Britto Garcia voted for the eligibility of Ms. Edison Antônio Costa Britto Garcia voted for the eligibility of Anelize Lenzi Ruas de Almeida, in accordance with the majority position of COPE/CELEG, pointing out that this type of conflict, in the way put forth by Councilor Rosangela Buzanelli Torres and by Councilor Francisco Petros Oliveira Lima Papathanasiadis, formal or material, distorts and is very distant from the practice observed in the Capital Market; that if this interpretation were to prevail, the controlling families of publicly-held companies would be barred from sitting on the Boards of Directors of the companies they control, since a conflict between controlling shareholders and the other shareholders could be alleged; that likewise, the presence of lawyers on the Boards of Directors of companies is common and customary, as can often be seen in specialized newspapers that the conflict, in fact, must be evaluated in concrete cases, when and if it occurs, and not beforehand prevent the entry of the Board Members; that specifically in relation to the Attorney General of the National Treasury, this is a large institution, which follows several matters, most of them completely unrelated to Petrobras, meaning that the nominee does not necessarily, as Attorney General of the National Treasury, deal with matters of interest to Petrobras; that it is very reasonable to understand that the Attorney General of the National Treasury, as the Controller's lawyer, in his daily routine discusses and deals with matters far removed from the Company; that, in the same way that the legislation treats Related-Party Transactions, allowing them to be entered into, with analysis in the concrete cases where they occur, the possible conflict of interest pointed out in the case of Ms. Anelize Lenzi Ruas de Almeida must be analyzed in concrete cases, if and when they effectively materialize, and that the Director in these cases, within his/her ethics and separation of interests, will know how to conduct himself/herself and manifest himself/herself, therefore, it is not a formal or material conflict that must be presumed or that prevents the election for the position of Director. Board member Ricardo Soriano de Alencar voted for the eligibility of Anelize Lenzi Ruas de Almeida, according to the majority position of COPE/CELEG, highlighting the unprecedented position adopted by the Board of Directors of Petrobras at the meeting of July 18, 2022 (RCA 1.691) by the ineligibility of the occupants of the

positions of Attorney General of the National Treasury and Executive Secretary of the Civil House, not knowing of any precedent with this type of understanding; that, on the contrary, there are several precedents in just the opposite direction, of Attorneys General of the National Treasury participating in various boards of directors of state companies, including as Chairman of these boards; that before the unprecedented position adopted in RCA 1.691 of Petrobras, the Brazilian Securities and Exchange Commission (CVM) had not reported any type of questioning on this matter, even in view of the many cases of National Treasury Attorneys General occupying positions on the Boards of Directors of state-owned companies; that the National Treasury Attorney General does not act directly in all tax matters of all state-owned companies and that when he or she joins a Board of Directors, he or she no longer follows up on anything related to the respective state-owned company, and that this caution should be taken with all National Treasury Attorneys who join state-owned company bodies; that, therefore, a concrete evaluation of the risk of conflict of interest is necessary, and there is no *a priori* impediment to assuming the position; that with respect to Financial Statements, as pointed out by Councilor Francisco Petros Oliveira Lima Papathanasiadis, at most a specific abstention with respect to value judgment as to the chance of loss or success in tax litigation against the Federal Government is sufficient; before the election of the then Attorney General of the National Treasury and the then Executive Secretary of the Civil Household for the Petrobras Board of Directors at the Extraordinary General Meeting of August 19, 2022, consultations were held with the Public Ethics Commission (CEP), the Office of the Comptroller General (CGU), and the Secretariat for Coordination and Governance of State-Owned Enterprises (SEST), bodies responsible for controlling appointments and assessing possible conflicts of interest within the Federal Government; everything reinforcing the presumption of legality and legitimacy of these elections and the unprecedentedness in pretending to ban or question the participation of the occupant of the office of Attorney General of the National Treasury in the Board of Directors of a state company, when, on the contrary, not only is there no unprecedented, but it is commonplace this participation, with several examples over time. Councilor Jônathas Assunção Salvador Nery de Castro voted for the eligibility of Ms. Anelize Lenzi Ruas de Almeida's eligibility, according to the majority position of COPE/CELEG, following Councilor Ricardo Soriano de Alencar's manifestation and adding two points: that despite the questions raised when he was elected to this Council, he can attest that the impression that there is a conflict of interest between the position of Executive Secretary of the Civil House and the position of Petrobras Board Member is mistaken because at

no time while he held both positions concurrently did he notice the existence of a conflict in practice, and there was never a case of conflict, so much so that at no time did he have to abstain from voting or not participate in any deliberation due to a conflict of interest; and that, reinforcing the idea of the novelty of trying to see eventual impediment in these situations, the theme of conflict of interests is very broad when examining the legislation, and it is necessary and important to be cautious when adopting subjective interpretations over time, constructing impediments never before identified or declared, because this can end up affecting the very possibility of people coming to state-owned companies who would have much to contribute to the quality of their management and the success of their business and operations. Board member Francisco Petros Oliveira Lima Papathanasiadis insisted that, in his view, the conflict of interest of Ms. Anelize Lenzi Ruas, who is a member of the Board of Directors, is a conflict of interest. The Board Member Francisco Petros Oliveira Lima Papathanasiadis insisted that in his view the conflict of interest of Anelize Lenzi Ruas de Almeida is as transparent as the inability of a Board Member's law firm to sue Petrobras or act against the Company in any forum or situation; that he has no personal reservations whatsoever against Board Members Jônathas Assunção Salvador Nery de Castro and Ricardo Soriano de Alencar, leaving on record his testimony that he has not seen on the part of the two Board Members any type of dubious or conflicting action in relation to Petrobras; but that his interpretation is institutional, based on the hygiene of the governance of state-owned companies; that, as for the cited unprecedentedness in pointing out this impediment, many things had not been pointed out before and, in the evolution of the instruments, came the State Law (Law no. 13.303/2016), which innovated and strengthened this governance, bringing as an impediment to the appointment to the Board of Directors of these companies to have or be able to have any form of conflict of interest with the company; that understands, therefore, there is an evident conflict in this case, which is not healthy for governance, it is important and salutary that the Securities Commission (CVM) settles once and for all any doubts about the issue and that the theme can be debated in the legal world. Board Member Rosangela Buzanelli Torres also registered that she does not have any personal reservations to Board Members Jônathas Assunção Salvador Nery de Castro and Ricardo Soriano de Alencar, but that her position follows the line indicated by Board Member Francisco Petros Oliveira Lima Papathanasiadis, and that it is important that CVM expresses itself about the matter, also to allow an eventual revision of understanding, if that is the case. Council President Gileno Gurjão Barreto voted for the eligibility of Ms. Anelize Lenzi Ruas de Almeida, according to the majority position of

COPE/CELEG, adopting the opinion of Council Member Edison Antônio Costa Britto Garcia as reasons for decision. Councilor Marcelo Mesquita de Siqueira Filho voted for the ineligibility of Ms. Anelize Lenzi Ruas de Almeida, following the vote of Councilor Francisco Petros Oliveira Lima Papathanasiadis and also reiterating his position, already adopted in the Council meeting of March 29, 2023 (RCA 1.707), contrary to nominations by the Controlling Shareholder for the Board of Directors and the Fiscal Council that do not originate from a selection process conducted by a *headhunter* company, and therefore voting for the ineligibility of Ms. Anelize Lenzi Ruas de Almeida also because she is a name freely chosen by the Controller and not a name selected by a *headhunter* company, which would preserve the impartiality and technical criteria in the choice of name. Participating in the analysis of Ms. Anelize Lenzi Ruas de Almeida's nomination were Council President Gileno Gurjão Barreto, Council Member Rosangela Buzanelli Torres, and Council Members Edison Antônio Costa Britto Garcia, Francisco Petros Oliveira Lima Papathanasiadis, Jônathas Assunção Salvador Nery de Castro, Marcelo Mesquita de Siqueira Filho and Ricardo Soriano de Alencar. nomination, made by the Controlling Shareholder pursuant to Official Letter no. 189/2023/GM-MME, from the Ministry of Mines and Energy, of Mr. RENATO CAMPOS GALUPPO to the Board of Directors of Petrobras. **RENATO CAMPOS GALUPPO** to the Board of Directors of Petrobras, the same was, by unanimity of the members of the Collegiate participating in this deliberation, considered **ineligible by the Board of Directors**, which accepted and followed the analysis of the People/Eligibility Committee for the existence of a veto for this election by virtue of item V, paragraph 2 of article 21 of Petrobras Bylaws (*"it is forbidden to nominate, for the management position: () of person who has served, in the last thirty-six (36) months, as a participant in the decision-making structure of a political party"*), since the Company's Legal Department informed that the injunction granted by Justice Ricardo Lewandowski, of the Federal Supreme Court, which suppressed sections of article 17 of Law no. 13,303/2016, does not remove the prohibitions contained in Petrobras' Bylaws, which are internal rules of the Company; and also added the impediment of item "d" of paragraph 1 of article 117 of Law no. 6.404/1976 (Law of Corporations - LSA), considering that the nominee has not demonstrated the technical aptitude to hold the position of Director of a company of the size and complexity of Petrobras, due to the inexistence in his résumé of sufficient professional experience for this position. The Board pointed out that it recognizes the preliminary suspension of the effects of the provisions of Law No. 13,303/2016, however, it stated that, as analyzed by the Company's Legal Department, the declaration of unconstitutionality does not affect the Company's Bylaws until they are

modified by the General Shareholders' Meeting. The Board also noted, for the attention and consideration of the Shareholders, the existence of Direct Unconstitutionality Action No. 7.331 in progress at the Federal Supreme Court, which questions certain prohibitions on the appointment of members of the Boards of Directors and Executive Boards of public companies, mixed-capital companies and their subsidiaries. Board member Edison Antônio Costa Britto Garcia pointed out that the motivation for the ineligibility of Mr. Renato Campos Galuppo should not be limited to the performance of the nominee in the last 36 months as a participant in the decision-making structure of a political party, but should also include the absence in his résumé of sufficient professional experience to occupy a position on the Board of Directors of Petrobras, without having worked in companies, therefore lacking the technical aptitude for the function in a company the size and complexity of Petrobras. The other Board members participating in this deliberation followed the manifestation of Board member Edison Antônio Costa Britto Garcia and, also, Board member Marcelo Mesquita de Siqueira Filho added his position against the nominations of the Controlling Shareholder for the Board of Directors and the Fiscal Council that are not originated in a selection process conducted by a *headhunter* company, voting, therefore, for the ineligibility of Mr. Renato Campos Galuppo, because he was the Controller's free choice and not a name selected by a *headhunter* company, which would preserve the independence of the Board of Directors. Impartiality and technical criteria in the choice of the name. Participating in the analysis of Mr. Renato Campos Galuppo's nomination were the Chairman of the Board Gileno Gurjão Barreto, the Board members Iêda Aparecida de Moura Cagni and Rosangela Buzanelli Torres, and the Board members Edison Antônio Costa Britto Garcia, Francisco Petros Oliveira Lima Papathanasiadis, Jônathas Assunção Salvador Nery de Castro, Marcelo Mesquita de Siqueira Filho and Ricardo Soriano de Alencar. Regarding the nominations, made by minority Shareholders, of Mr. **JOSÉ JOÃO ABDALLA FILHO** and **MARCELO GASPARINO DA SILVA** for the Board of Directors of Petrobras, the members of the Board participating in this deliberation unanimously accepted and fully followed the analyses and mitigating measures of the People/Eligibility Committee regarding these two nominations for the Board of Directors, which, therefore, were considered **eligible**. Participating in the analysis of the nominations of Messrs. José João Abdalla Filho and Marcelo Gasparino da Silva were the Chairman of the Board Gileno Gurjão Barreto, the Board Members Iêda Aparecida de Moura Cagni and Rosangela Buzanelli Torres, and the Board Members Edison Antônio Costa Britto Garcia, Francisco Petros Oliveira Lima Papathanasiadis, Jônathas Assunção Salvador Nery de Castro, Marcelo Mesquita de

Siqueira Filho and Ricardo Soriano de Alencar. ----- About the nominations, made by minority Shareholders, of Mrs. **LUCIA MARIA GUIMARÃES CAVALCANTI** and **MICHELE DA SILVA GONSALES TORRES** and of Mr. **ALOISIO MACÁRIO FERREIRA DE SOUZA** and Mr. **JOÃO VICENTE SILVA MACHADO** to the Petrobras Fiscal Council, the Board members participating in this deliberation unanimously welcomed and fully followed the respective analyses and mitigating measures of the Personnel/Eligibility Committee regarding these four nominations for the Fiscal Council, which were therefore considered **eligible**. The analysis of the indications of Ms. Michele da Silva Gonsales Torres and Mr. Aloisio Macário Ferreira Souza was carried out. Attended the analysis of the nominations of Ms. Michele da Silva Gonsales Torres and Mr. Aloisio Macário Ferreira de Souza, the Chairman of the Board Gileno Gurjão Barreto, the Board members Iêda Aparecida de Moura Cagni and Rosangela Buzanelli Torres and the Board members Edison Antônio Costa Britto Garcia, Francisco Petros Oliveira Lima Papathanasiadis, Jônathas Assunção Salvador Nery de Castro, José João Abdalla Filho, Marcelo Gasparino da Silva, Marcelo Mesquita de Siqueira Filho and Ricardo Soriano de Alencar. Participating in the analysis of Mrs. Lucia Maria Guimarães Cavalcanti's nomination were Council President Gileno Gurjão Barreto, Council members Iêda Aparecida de Moura Cagni and Rosangela Buzanelli Torres and Councilors Edison Antônio Costa Britto Garcia, Francisco Petros Oliveira Lima Papathanasiadis, Jônathas Assunção Salvador Nery de Castro, José João Abdalla Filho, Marcelo Gasparino da Silva and Marcelo Mesquita de Siqueira Filho. The following participated in the analysis of the nomination of Mr. João Vicente Silva Machado: the Chairman of the Board Gileno Gurjão Barreto, the Board members Iêda Aparecida de Moura Cagni and Rosangela Buzanelli Torres, and the Board members Edison Antônio Costa Britto Garcia, Francisco Petros Oliveira Lima Papathanasiadis, Jônathas Assunção Salvador **Nery** de Castro and Marcelo Mesquita de Siqueira Filho. **CABALEIRO SALDANHA** for Petrobras' Fiscal Council, the Board of Directors, except for Board member Marcelo Mesquita de Siqueira Filho, with the favorable vote of the other Board members participating in this deliberation, fully accepted and followed the analysis and mitigating measures of the People/Eligibility Committee regarding this nomination for the Fiscal Council, which, therefore, was considered **eligible** by majority vote. Board member Marcelo Mesquita de Siqueira Filho maintained his position against nominations by the Controlling Shareholder for the Board of Directors and Fiscal Council that do not originate from a selection process conducted by a *headhunter* firm, and therefore voted for the ineligibility of Mr. Daniel Cabaleiro Saldanha, since he was the Controller's free choice and not a name selected by a *headhunter* firm, which

would preserve the impartiality and technical criteria in the choice of name. The Council, as observed by COPE/CELEG, considered, in order to evaluate as sufficient the technical qualification of Mr. Daniel Cabaleiro Saldanha, the Declaration issued by the Honorable Minister of the Federal Court of Accounts (TCU) Mr. Antonio Anastasia, on 3-4-20-20. Antonio Anastasia, on 3-4-2023, in which he informed that: (i) the nominee held a commissioned position in his Cabinet while Governor of the State of Minas Gerais and, among his activities, were the monitoring of the financial execution of state-owned companies and participation in operational performance audits that took place, reporting directly to the Head of State Executive Power; and (ii) that, during this period, the nominee, already a holder of the effective position of State Attorney of the State of Minas Gerais, demonstrated great skill and preparation in the exercise of the functions that were assigned to him. The analysis of Mr. Daniel Cabaleiro Saldanha's nomination was carried out by Council President Gileno Gurjão Barreto, Councilors Iêda Aparecida de Moura Cagni and Rosangela Buzanelli Torres and the Board Members Edison Antônio Costa Britto Garcia, Francisco Petros Oliveira Lima Papathanasiadis, Jônathas Assunção Salvador Nery de Castro, José João Abdalla Filho, Marcelo Gasparino da Silva and Marcelo Mesquita de Siqueira Filho. ----- Regarding the nomination, made by the Controlling Shareholder, of Mrs. **CRISTINA BUENO CAMATTA** for Petrobras' Fiscal Council, the same was, by the unanimity of the Collegiate members participating in this deliberation, considered **ineligible** by the Board of Directors, which accepted and followed the analysis of the People/Eligibility Committee for the existence of the impediment foreseen in item "d" of §1º of article 117 of Law no. 6.404/1976 (Brazilian Corporations Law - LSA), considering that the nominee has not demonstrated the technical aptitude to hold the position of Fiscal Councilor of a company of the size and complexity of Petrobras, due to the inexistence, in her curriculum, of adherence between her professional experiences and qualifications and the knowledge required to hold the position of Fiscal Councilor of Petrobras. The Board also followed COPE/CELEG's observation that although the activities performed by the nominee within the scope of the Federal Police are very relevant and noble, it was not possible to identify, from the analysis of the nominee's résumé and declaration, any technical knowledge (specialized skills and necessary experience) that would qualify her to perform the duties of Fiscal Council member listed in the list in article 163 of the Corporation Law, as well as provided for in the Company's Bylaws. Board Member Marcelo Mesquita de Siqueira Filho added that he is against nominations by the Controlling Shareholder for the Board of Directors and the Fiscal Council that do not originate from a selection process conducted by a

headhunter company, and therefore voted, also for this reason, for the ineligibility of Ms. Cristina Bueno Camatta, since she was the Controller's free choice, and not a name selected by a *headhunter* company, which would preserve the impartiality and technical criteria in the choice of name. The analysis of the nomination of Mrs. Cristina Bueno Camatta was attended by the Chairman of the Board Gileno Gurjão Barreto, the Board members Iêda Aparecida de Moura Cagni and Rosangela Buzanelli Torres, and the Board members Edison Antônio Costa Britto Garcia, Francisco Petros Oliveira Lima Papathanasiadis, Jônathas Assunção Salvador Nery de Castro, José João Abdalla Filho, Marcelo Gasparino da Silva and Marcelo Mesquita de Siqueira Filho.

Administration also pointed out that procedures for the rendering of ordinary accounts before the Federal Court of Accounts (TCU) are not factual processes in which the author of expenditure appears as a defendant or sued, but are administrative proceedings of annual routine for the monitoring of external control that the TCU makes on any unit of public management in which the manager, who orders public accounts, including in state enterprises, account for their actions, without litigation nature, This is different from effective special accounting processes, which eventually, and only insome cases, are initiated when some irregularity is detected in the management, not constituting, therefore, the rendering of ordinary accounts, in real or pending notes regarding nominees, and should not be treated as a negative note in BCIs (*Background Checks of Integrity*), this consideration being valid for all analyses of indications where relevant.

Rio de Janeiro, April 18, 2023.

João Gonçalves Gabriel
Petrobras General Secretary