

FS Bioenergia

PROGAMMATIC PRE-ISSUANCE VERIFICATION LETTER BIOENERGY CRITERIA OF THE CLIMATE BONDS STANDARD

Type of engagement: Assurance Engagement Period engagement was carried out: June 2021

Approved verifier: Sustainalytics

Contact address for engagement: 125 Maiden Lane, Suite 602, New York NY 10038, U.S.A.

Pre-Issuance Engagement Leader: Zach Margolis, zach.margolis@sustainalytics.com, (+1) 647 695 4341

Scope and Objectives

In June 2021, FS Bioenergia ("FS") engaged Sustainalytics to review and verify that FS' green debt instruments, which will be issued under its Green Bond Framework, meet the Programmatic Pre-Issuance Requirements under the Bioenergy criteria¹ of the Climate Bonds Standard Version 3.0.² Through issuances under the programmatic certifications, FS may finance investments in relation to the production of ethanol from corn, including working capital or financing/refinancing of facility capex and related activities. FS used the Climate Bonds Standard Agriculture criteria³ as a proxy to fulfil the feedstock certification requirements under the Bioenergy criteria. Please refer to Schedule 3 for further details on compliance with this criterion.

FS' corporate purpose is to add socio-environmental benefits to the biofuel production chain. It is the first plant in Brazil to produce 100% corn ethanol through a sustainable business model, with the goal of promoting global demand for renewable energy sources and lowering greenhouse gas emissions.

Climate Bonds Standard Criteria

Pre-issuance requirements under Version 3.04:

- Bioenergy Criteria
 - Nominated projects includes:
 - CAPEX investments in relation to the production of corn ethanol
 - Working Capital in relation to the production of corn ethanol
 - Refinancing of any of the above

Issuing Entity's Responsibility

FS was responsible for providing information and documents relating to:

- The details concerning the selection process for the Nominated Projects
- The details of the Nominated Projects
- The management systems for internal processes and controls for Nominated Projects, including: tracking of proceeds, managing unallocated proceeds and Earmarking funds to Nominated Projects
- The details of commitments for reporting prior to issuance, including: investment areas, management of unallocated proceeds and frequency of periodic Assurance Engagements

¹ Climate Bonds Initiative, Bioenergy Criteria under the Climate Bonds Standard. See more, at: https://www.climatebonds.net/files/files/Bioenergy%20Criteria%20Document%20July%202020.pdf

² Climate Bonds Initiative, Climate Bonds Standard Version 3.0. See more, at:

 $[\]underline{https://www.climatebonds.net/files/Climate\%20Bonds_Standard_Version\%203_0_December\%202017.pdf}$

³ This approach was approved by the Climate Bond Standards Board. For more details on the Agriculture Criteria, please see here: https://www.climatebonds.net/files/standards/agriculture/agriculture-criteria-v2-20210622.pdf

⁴ Climate Bonds Standard, Climate Bonds Standard Version 3.0. See more, at:

https://www.climatebonds.net/files/files/Climate%20Bonds_Standard_Version%203_0_December%202017.pdf



Independence and Quality Control

Sustainalytics, a leading provider of ESG and corporate governance research and ratings to investors, conducted the verification of FS's green debt instruments, issued to finance Nominated Projects, and provided an independent opinion informing FS as to the conformance of the green debt instruments with the Pre-Issuance requirements and Bioenergy criteria of the Climate Bonds Standard.

Sustainalytics has relied on the information and the facts presented by FS with respect to the Nominated Project. Sustainalytics is not responsible nor shall it be held liable if any of the opinions, findings, or conclusions it has set forth herein are not correct due to incorrect or incomplete data provided by FS.

Sustainalytics makes all efforts to ensure the highest quality and rigor during its assessment process and enlisted its Sustainability Bonds Review Committee to provide oversight over the assessment of the bond.

Verifier's Responsibility

The work undertaken as part of this engagement included conversations with relevant FS employees and review of relevant documentation to confirm the green bond's conformance with the Climate Bonds Certification Pre-Issuance Requirements, which include:

- Conformance of FS' green debt instruments with the Climate Bonds Standard Version 3.0;
- Conformance with the Bioenergy Technical Criteria;
- Conformance with the Internal Processes & Controls requirements;
- Conformance with Reporting Prior to Issuance requirements

Basis of the Opinion

Sustainalytics conducted the verification in accordance with the Climate Bonds Standard Version 3.0 and with International Standard on Assurance Engagements 3000 – Assurance Engagements other than Audits or Reviews of Historical Information.

Sustainalytics planned and performed the verification by obtaining evidence and other information and explanations that Sustainalytics considers necessary to give limited assurance that FS' green bond meets the requirements of the Climate Bonds Standard. Upon reviewing evidence and other information, Sustainalytics is of the opinion that FS will ensure compliance with Climates Bonds Standards requirements.

Exceptions

No exceptions were identified. The project aligned with the Pre-Issuance Requirements of the Climate Bonds Standard and was in conformance with the Bioenergy criteria.

Conclusion

Based on the limited assurance procedures conducted, nothing has come to Sustainalytics' attention that causes us to believe that, in all material respects, FS' green debt instruments, are not in conformance with the Pre-Issuance Requirements of the Climate Bonds Standard.



Schedule 1

Schedule 1A: Pre-Issuance General Requirements

Sustainalytics has conducted this verification using the following Pre-Issuance Requirements under Climate Bonds Standard Version 3.0:

1. Use of Proceeds	1.1 The Issuer shall document the Nominated Projects & Assets which are proposed to be associated with the Bond and which have been assessed as likely to be Eligible Projects & Assets. The Issuer shall establish a list of Nominated Projects & Assets which can be kept up-to-date during the term of the Bond.
	1.2 The expected Net Proceeds of the Bond shall be no greater than the Issuer's total investment exposure to the proposed Nominated Projects & Assets, or the relevant proportion of the total Market Value of the proposed Nominated Projects & Assets which are owned or funded by the Issuer.
	1.3 Nominated Projects & Assets shall not be nominated to other Certified Climate Bonds, Certified Climate Loans, Certified Climate Debt Instruments, green bonds, green loans or other labelled instruments (such as social bonds or SDG bonds) unless it is demonstrated by the Issuer that:
	1.3.1 distinct portions of the Nominated Projects & Assets are being funded by different Certified Climate Bonds, Certified Climate Loans, Certified Climate Debt Instruments, green bonds, green loans or other labelled instruments; or,
	1.3.2 the existing Certified Climate Bond, Certified Climate Loan or Certified Climate Debt Instrument is being refinanced via another Certified Climate Bond, Certified Climate Loan or Certified Climate Debt Instrument.
2. Process for Evaluation and Selection of Projects & Assets	2.1 The Issuer shall establish, document and maintain a decision-making process which it uses to determine the eligibility of the Nominated Projects & Assets. The decision-making process shall include, without limitation:
Flojecis & Assets	2.1.1 A statement on the climate-related objectives of the Bond;
	2.1.2 How the climate-related objectives of the Bond are positioned within the context of the Issuer's overarching objectives, strategy, policy and/or processes relating to environmental sustainability;
	2.1.3 The Issuer's rationale for issuing the Bond;
	2.1.4 A process to determine whether the Nominated Projects & Assets meet the eligibility requirements specified in Part C of the Climate Bonds Standard.
	Note to 2.1: A wide variety of climate-related objectives are possible. These can vary from increasing the installed capacity of low carbon assets, such as solar power facilities, to having a specific objective focused on the operations or indirect effects of the projects & assets, such as emissions reductions.



	imp	lications	related objectives of the Bond, as stated by the Issuer, have for the reporting requirements under the Climate Bonds Standard. See 5.2, 5.8, 6.1.1 and 8.4.
	2.2		uer should include under Clause 2.1 further aspects of the decision-process, including:
		2.2.1	related eligibility criteria, including, if applicable, exclusion criteria or any other process, applied to identify and manage potentially material environmental, social or governance risks associated with the Nominated Projects & Assets;
		2.2.2	any green standards or certifications referenced in the selection of Nominated Projects & Assets.
	2.3	associa Clause	uer shall assess that all proposed Nominated Projects & Assets to be ated with the Bond meet the documented objectives as stated under 2.1.1 and are likely to conform to the relevant eligibility requirements Part C of the Climate Bonds Standard.
3. Management of Proceeds	3.1	Procee	stems, policies and processes to be used for management of the Net ds shall be documented by the Issuer and disclosed to the Verifier, and clude arrangements for the following activities:
		3.1.1	Tracking of proceeds: The Net Proceeds of the Bond can be credited to a sub-account, moved to a sub-portfolio, or otherwise tracked by the Issuer in an appropriate manner and documented.
		3.1.2	Managing unallocated proceeds: The balance of unallocated Net Proceeds can be managed as per the requirements in Clause 7.3.
		3.1.3	Earmarking funds to Nominated Projects & Assets: An earmarking process can be used to manage and account for funding to the Nominated Projects & Assets and enables estimation of the share of the Net Proceeds being used for financing and refinancing.
4. Reporting	4.1	availab	uer shall prepare a Green Bond Framework and make it publicly le prior to Issuance or at the time of Issuance. The Green Bond vork shall include, without limitation:
		4.1.1	Confirmation that the Bonds issued under the Green Bond Framework are aligned with the Climate Bonds Standard. This may include statements of alignment with other applicable standards, such as the EU Green Bond Standard, the ASEAN Green Bond Standard, Chinese domestic regulations, Japanese Green Bond Guidelines, etc.;
		4.1.2	A summary of the expected use of proceeds, as defined under Clause 1.1, and the expected contribution of the relevant sectors or subsectors to the rapid transition required to achieve the goals of the Paris Climate Agreement;
		4.1.3	A description of the decision-making process, as defined under Clause 2.1, with particular reference to the requirements in Clause 2.1.2;
		4.1.4	Information on the methodology and assumptions to be used for: confirming, where required by relevant Sector Eligibility Criteria, the characteristics or performance of Nominated Projects & Assets required to conform to the relevant eligibility requirements under Part C of the Climate Bonds Standard; and any other additional impact metrics that the issuer will define.
		4.1.5	A summary of the approach to the management of unallocated Net Proceeds in accordance with Clause 3.1;



- 4.1.6 The intended approach to providing Update Reports to reaffirm conformance with the Climate Bonds Standard while the Bond remains outstanding;
- 4.1.7 The list of proposed Nominated Projects & Assets associated with the Bond and the investment areas, as provided in Clause 9.1, into which the Nominated Projects & Assets fall. Where there are limits on the amount of detail that can be made available about specific Nominated Projects & Assets, information shall be presented on the investment areas which the Nominated Projects & Assets fall into, as provided in Clause 9.1, and the Issuer shall provide an explanation of why detail on Nominated Projects & Assets is limited;
- 4.1.8 Where a proportion of the Net Proceeds are used for refinancing, an estimate of the share of the Net Proceeds used for financing and refinancing, and the relevant Nominated Projects & Assets or investment areas which may be refinanced. This may also include the expected look-back period for refinanced Nominated Projects & Assets.

Note: Issuers are encouraged to disclose as much information as possible with respect to Nominated Projects & Assets. However, in many cases it is not possible for the Issuer to disclose detailed information about specific projects & assets prior to the issuance of the Bond. This limitation may be due to confidentiality arrangements with owners of projects & assets, the dynamic nature of the project portfolio, competitive considerations, or other legal provisions which limit the disclosure of detailed information.

- 4.2 The Issuer shall include in the Disclosure Documentation:
 - 4.2.1 The investment areas, as provided in Clause 9.1, into which the Nominated Projects & Assets fall;
 - 4.2.2 The intended types of temporary investment instruments for the management of unallocated Net Proceeds in accordance with Clause 7.3:
 - 4.2.3 The Verifier engaged by the Issuer for the mandatory verification engagements;
 - 4.2.4 The intended approach to providing Update Reports to reaffirm conformance with the Climate Bonds Standard while the Bond remains outstanding, including the location of the published documents;
 - 4.2.5 The Climate Bonds Initiative Disclaimer provided in the Certification Agreement.

Note to 4.2.4: Issuers are encouraged to provide their Update Reports through existing reporting channels for the bond markets, such as the Electronic Municipal Market Access (EMMA) website for the US Municipality sector.



Schedule 1B: Conformance to the Pre-Issuance Requirements

Details of FS's internal processes and controls as per the Pre-Issuance Requirements are provided below:

Procedure Performed	Factual Findings	Error or Exceptions Identified
1. Use of Proceeds	1.1 FS has developed a list of proposed Nominated Projects & Assets which comply with the Bioenergy sector criteria of the Climate Bonds Standard. FS intends to keep this list updated with all the financed projects that fall within the scope of its green debt instruments program and Framework. The proposed Nominated Projects and Assets include:	None
	 CAPEX investments in relation to the production of corn ethanol Working Capital in relation to the production of corn ethanol Refinancing of any of the above 	
	1.2 FS' management confirms that the net proceeds of the future bond will not be greater than the total investment exposure to the proposed Nominated Projects & Assets.	
	1.3 FS' management confirms that the Nominated Projects & Assets will not be nominated to other Certified Climate Bonds, Certified Climate Loans, Certified Climate Debt Instrument, green bonds, green loans, or other labelled instruments unless it is demonstrated by FS that distinct portions of the Nominated Projects & Assets are being funded by different instruments or that the existing instrument is being refinanced via another labelled instrument.	
2. Process for Evaluation and Selection of	2.1.1. The FS Green Bond Framework states that the intention of the green bonds is to support its ongoing efforts to develop the Brazilian agribusiness production chain.	
Projects & Assets	2.1.2. FS' environmental objectives are summarized in the FS Green Bond Framework.	
	2.1.3. FS' rationale for issuing green debt instruments is to create sustainable value within Brazil's agriculture frontier.	
	2.1.4. The FS Green Bond Framework includes a process for project evaluation and selection in which FS conducts a socioenvironmental analysis to verify the corn it purchases, as well as a strict risk management and monitoring process to ensure that suppliers continue to meet its requirements.	
	2.2.1. FS has sufficient measures in place to manage and mitigate environmental and social risks that are commonly associated with the eligible category.	
	2.2.2. N/A	
	2.2.3. FS' sustainability department will verify that all proposed Nominated Projects & Assets conform to the Climate Bonds Taxonomy and Bioenergy sector criteria.	
3. Management of Proceeds	3.1.1 The FS Green Bond Framework outlines a process by which proceeds will be tracked.	



	212	Danding full allocation funds will be 100% invested in law
	3.1.2	Pending full allocation, funds will be 100% invested in low- risk commitments or used to repay outstanding debt.
	3.1.3	The FS Green Bond Framework details the process FS will use to allocate and manage green bonds proceeds. This will enable the estimation of the share of the Net Proceeds being used for financing and refinancing.
Reporting Prior to Issuance	4.1.1.	Bonds issued under the FS Green Bond Framework are intended to align with the Climate Bonds Standard.
	4.1.2.	The FS Green Bond Framework indicates that green bond proceeds will be used, as defined under Clause 1.1, and the expected contribution of the relevant sectors or sub-sectors to the rapid transition required to achieve the goals of the Paris Climate Agreement.
	4.1.3.	The FS Green Bond Framework provides detail on its decision-making process, including a risk management and socioenvironmental monitoring process as well as ongoing monitoring, in addition to evaluating the cultivation of corn and biomass producers in protected areas in compliance with Brazilian national environmental laws.
	4.1.4.	FS' Nominated Projects & Assets will conform with the Bioenergy sector criteria.
	4.1.5.	FS will manage unallocated net proceeds in accordance with Clause 3.1.
	4.1.6.	FS will provide allocation and impact reporting to investors and on its website on an annual basis, until full maturity. The report will include a description of conformance with the Climate Bonds Standards criteria.
	4.1.7.	FS' Nominated Projects & Assets fall under Bioenergy sector criteria. FS will report on the investment areas which the Nominated Projects & Assets fall into by reporting on the share of proceeds allocated to each eligible category.
	4.1.8.	FS will provide more details regarding the amount used for financing and refinancing in the annual report.
	4.2.1.	FS' Nominated Projects & Assets will conform with the Bioenergy sector criteria.
	4.2.2.	The intended types of temporary investment instruments for the management of unallocated Net Proceeds are in accordance with Clause 7.3 of the Climate Bonds Standard.
	4.2.3.	No third-party verifier has been appointed yet to conduct the Post-Issuance assurance exercise. Post-issuance will be carried out 12 months after issuance. However, conducting periodic Assurance Engagements over the term of the bond is at the discretion of the bond issuer, as per CBI guidelines.
	4.2.4.	FS will provide allocation and impact reporting on its website in its annual Sustainability Report.
	4.2.5.	Sustainalytics notes that under the terms of its certification, FS must include the CBI Disclaimer provided in the Certification Agreement in disclosure documentation.



Appendices

Appendix 1: Bioenergy Criteria - Mitigation Requirements

Item	Assessment
Meet the established GHG emissions threshold	FS meets this threshold
Facilities producing biofuel for transport (18.8g CO2e/MJ)	Anhydrous bioethanol: 16.7gCO2e/MJ Hydrated bioethanol: 16.3gCO2e/MJ
Reducing the risk of indirect land-use impact	FS Bioenergia has not certified its feedstock using the RSB iLUC module. However, the Issuer has provided documentation to demonstrate that it meets low iLUC risk biomass criteria and compliance, based on the principle of "Yield Increase".
	Grain used as feedstock for the eligible facilities will be sourced from second-crop corn produced in Brazil, and in particular the state of Matto Grosso. There has been a rapid expansion of grain production in Mato Grosso State between 2006/2007 to 2016/2017. Total maize production increased from 4 million tons to 29 million tons in MT. 99% of this additional maize is produced as a double crop. This peer-reviewed research ⁵ therefore supports the claim that the supplementary maize production can be largely attributed to yield increase due to the implementation of double-cropping techniques and that therefore second crop corn ethanol from the state of Mato Grosso has negative indirect land-use impact.

⁵ Moreira et al, (2020), "Socio-environmental and land-use impacts of double-cropped maize ethanol in Brazil", at: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-019-0456-2



Appendix 2: Bioenergy Criteria - Adaptation and Resilience Requirements

em Assessment

Processes are in place (as part of both the asset design and ongoing management) to assess key risks to the assets from a changing climate.

These key risks should include the following, plus any others felt to be of concern for the operation of these assets. The risks should be identified and interpreted in terms of the impact on the asset and the related effects for the business – e.g. impact on operating feasibility and schedules and potential system outages, impact on maintenance requirements etc.

N.B. This list taken from World Banks Climate and Disaster Risk Assessment Tool

- Temperature changes, and extremes in temperature
- Extreme precipitation and flooding
- Drought
- Sea level rise and storm surge
- Strong winds

How these affect the asset or site in question will be highly variable and will be for the issuer to identify and relate to their operations. These assessments should use climate information, modelling and scenarios from a peer reviewed source.

This assessment should be done regularly. The frequency of the assessment will depend on the nature of the climate related risks and vulnerabilities, and should be specified by the issuer and reporting against in subsequent annual reporting.

Processes are in place (as part of both the asset design and ongoing management) to assess the impact of the bioenergy asset on the climate resilience of other stakeholders in the social, economic and environmental system in which it operates and how to mitigate or reduce any negative impacts These assessments address:

- Any ways in which bioenergy facilities might affect the climate resilience of other users/stakeholders?
- Any ways in which bioenergy facilities improve the adaptation capacity of other users/stakeholders?

For example, they may include:

• Impact on water quality and quantity for other users in the basin

FS conducted its first climate risk study in 2020 to evaluate the following risks: temperature changes, extreme precipitation, and drought. Several actions were suggested and FS is working on an implementation and monitoring plan for these actions. FS intends to review the plan every 5 years.

FS's Climate Risk Analysis and Climate Change Adaptation Plan was recently expanded and it is currently in the process of structuring its actions and next steps. Therefore, at present, it does not have such processes in place but it commits to establishing such plans in the future. Sustainalytics recognizes FS's intent and notes that the implementation of these processes is crucial to ensuring systems resiliency in the regions in which FS operates and for ensuring ongoing compliance with the CBI Criteria.



 Waste and 	pollution	emitted
-------------------------------	-----------	---------

• Fire hazards

An adaptation plan has been designed and is being implemented to address the risks identified in assessments above

The issuer has designed or amended investment and maintenance plans for the assets or projects and the broader ecosystem and its stakeholders. This is to ensure that the asset and its scheduled maintenance is sufficient to cope with the ongoing impacts of climate change and a plan has been established to govern how they approach emergency maintenance needs arising from sudden climate change impacts (e.g. extreme storms)

The issue has training, capacity and governance arrangements in place for how the organization will deal with the impacts of exception events (e.g. droughts, floods, severe pollution events, extreme storms, winds etc.)

The issuer has monitoring and reporting systems and processes to identify high risk scenarios The issuer has contingency plans to address disruption to operations or loss of the asset and any resulting broader environmental or social damage

The issuer has processes for feeding risk assessment back into decision making.

The issuer has a budget allocated to implementing the adaptation plan and has a named member of staff responsible for its implementation.

The issuer complies with any existing broader or higher-level adaption plans, such as NAPAs.

The investment selection process was adjusted at the end of 2019 for direct suppliers of corn and biomass (producers), including a broad decision flow that includes in summary (see Framework)

Once the contracts have deadlines (period) for the delivery of the products (corn), after the purchase approval, a monitoring system is implemented through the interpretation of satellite images throughout the delivery period, and a socio-environmental statement (with date and time) is generated for each new corn receiving. In this way, FS maintains strict risk management and socioenvironmental monitoring processes applied to the field's origination operations, using tools based on geoprocessing. FS also monitors all consultation and monitoring management through the Agrotools SAFE tool, which quarantees the quality of analysis and independent storage of evidence from consultations, necessary for an MRV process (measurable, reportable and verifiable).

To ensure the safety of its employees and third parties working in our plants and minimize the risk of accidents, FS has adopted several tools and controls. Since 2017, safety training has exceeded 20 thousand hours and involved hundreds of employees and suppliers. In the last crop year, FS identified an increase of 18 percentage points in the Safe Practice Index, one of its key indicators to assess the teams' adherence to our safety culture.

The specific budget for the implementation of the adaptation plan is still under construction. The FS employee responsible for overseeing this process is Rubiane Jacobowsky (Sustainability Supervisor).

FS conducts its work in accordance with Brazil's national plans.

Overall, Sustainalytics considers the programmes and actions of FS to be in line with the intents of the Criteria in this area.

Feedstocks certified under approved best practice standards

Please see Appendix 3A, 3B, and 3C.

Addressing food security risk

FS Bioenergia has confirmed that it sources feedstocks solely from Brazil, which has been deemed to have low food insecurity based on



the most recent publication of the Global Hunger Index.

Appendix 3: Agriculture Criteria – 3.3.1. Mitigation criteria for an agricultural production unit

Criteria	CBI Requirements	FS Bioenergia
M1: No conversion of high carbon stock lands Critério M1: Nenhuma conversão de terras com alto estoque de carbono	Please confirm that the production unit is not operating on land that has been converted from high carbon stock (HCS) lands spanning more than one hectare after Jan 1, 2010 or according to the cut-off date required in national law in the country of issuance or as defined by regional green financing initiatives if this is prior to 2010. This includes wetlands, peatlands, forested areas or other designated HCS areas, as defined by the threshold of 35 tC/ha. Compliance can be demonstrated by submission of maps (see Global Forest Watch maps), georeferenced photographs or satellite imagery of land use change and burning for example. Forest inventory surveys or other formal government data can also be used.	FS Bioenergia uses a digital platform (Agrotools) to ensure that its suppliers are not operating on land that has been converted from HCS lands. Agrotools specializes in socio-environmental analysis through satellite images and consultation of available public databases. FS Bioenergia has established specific criteria for the monitoring efforts of each type of supplier, according to the input, planting area, biomass, etc. The Forest Code sets a cutoff date for deforestation at 22 July 2008, with different percentages across Brazil's biomes. The main data set is verified through PRODES – Brazil's deforestation monitoring system – which monitors the Amazon biome since 2008 and for the Cerrado since 2018. The monitoring helps generate an environmental report on the deforested areas throughout the years, as well as embargoed areas and blocks suppliers that are not compliant. FS Bioenergia assess whether suppliers are included in the Federal Government's Employment "Blacklist", which identifies locations in which working conditions have slave labor aspects. In addition to this analysis, supply contracts have specific clauses that prohibit degrading work practices, including child labor, and our Code of Conduct for Suppliers and Partners strictly rejects these types of employment conditions. *All producers must comply to national legislation and FS' Policy. Rural producers that are not in compliance with FS' Policy are suspended from the supplier list.
M2: Land use status Critério M2: Status de uso da terra	Please confirm there is no clearing of woody vegetation over 3 metres in height after 2020 on the production unit in question.	Satellite images enable FS Bioenergia to detect the occurrence of deforestation and possible overlap with indigenous lands, quilombolas or conservation units. Compliance has been demonstrated through the submission of sample
	Compliance can be demonstrated by submission of maps (see Global Forest Watch maps), georeferenced	satellite imagery and disclosures around the Issuer's processes in using this data.



	photographs or satellite imagery of land use change and burning for example. Forest inventory surveys or other formal government data can also be used.	
M3.2: Evidence of following low-emission best practices for crop production	In order to demonstrate that the production unit is deploying low emission practices on an ongoing basis, the relevant table from	Refer to Appendix 4, below.
Opção M3.2: Prova de que a unidade adota boas práticas de baixas emissões para sua produção agrícola	the Sector Criteria document must be completed, and all core practices must be met.	

Appendix 4: Agriculture Criteria - 3.9 Best practices for low emissions agriculture Best practice requirements: Crop production

Category	Core Practices	Optional	Exclusions	Disclosures	Sustainalytics
		Practices		provided	Assessment
Fertilizer use	 A nutrient 	 The nutrient 	None	 FS does not 	Compliant.
	management	management		own any areas	
Uso de	plan is in place	plan also		for planting	While FS does
fertilizantes	that identifies	identifies the		corn. It buys all	not own any of
	the right rate of	right source of		the corn for its	the areas for
	N fertilizer use	fertilizer		production	planting it corn, it
	for the	 The nutrient 		process from	is a RenovaBio
	production unit	management		producers	certified
		plan also		surrounding its	company and
	<i>plus</i> at least	identifies the		plants.	aims to only
	three optional	right timing of			purchases corn
	practices	fertilizer		Currently there	from producers
		 Right 		are more than	certified under
		placement of		500 direct	RenovaBio.
		fertilizer		partner	Sustainalytics
		 Deep urea or 		suppliers.	views the criteria
		other subsurface			of RenovaBio to
		placement		Optional	be aligned with
		 Agronomic 		practices:	the Core
		practices that		,	Practices. From
		produce yields in		 "The nutrient 	2020, suppliers
		top 25% for the		management	under RenovaBio
		agroecosystem		plan also	have been
		 Fertilizer 		identifies the	monitored for
		produced with		right source of	their annual
		energy-efficient		fertilizer": FS is	productivity (tons
		methods (e.g.		part of the	per hectare) and
		steam methane		RenovaBio	are required to
		reforming (SMR),		program	present data on
		green ammonia,		(Brazilian	fertilizer
		or process using		Biofuel	consumption. In
		<36 gigajoules/t		Program), in	addition, the
		ammonia		which we can	Mato Grosso



		Controlled		gain visibility	Institute for
		release fertilizer		into the use of	Agricultural
		Biological N-		fertilizers by	Economics
		fixation as the		producers. We	monitors and
		source of		undertake the	measures the
		nitrogen inputs		identification	use and impacts
		Any practice		of the sources	of fertilizers in
		that reduces or		of the nutrients	the region and
		offsets N ₂ O		used by	carries out an
		emissions by		producers	analysis in
		20%		participating in	different
				the RenovaBio	municipalities.
				certification.	,
					In addition to the
				 "The nutrient 	listed optional
				management	practices,
				plan also	Sustainalytics
				identifies the	notes that the
				right timing of	Renovabio
				fertilizer":	program
				There are	identifies the
				several other	right placement
				regional	of fertilizer use
				institutions	and therefore FS
				(Embrapa,	is compliant with
				Fundação MT)	that requirement.
				that carry out	
				research and	
				development	
				tools to guide	
				the best	
				management	
				of nutrients for	
				the cultivation	
				of corn and	
				undertake	
				research	
				related to the	
				right timing of	
				fertilizer	
				application.	
				Also, there are	
				precision	
				agriculture companies	
				(variable rate)	
				to reach the	
				right rate or	
				correct dose of	
				fertilizer at the	
				indicated	
				location.	
Management	Project length	Increase in	While FS	Confirmed:	Partially
of soil for net	of at least five	aboveground	intends to	• FS has	compliant - see
carbon	years	biomass (cover	increase	confirmed that	exclusion.
sequestration	• Reduced	crops,	soil	the project	2.0.0010111
	tillage	agroforestry) and	organic	length is at	
Manejo do	Avoided	residue retention	carbon	least five years	
solo para	erosion	Organic matter	over the	long (the	
sequestro	No open	amendments to	long term	project has	
	burning		through its		



soil carbon sequestration is likely to be maintained for 20 years or more (secure land rights, low threat of conversion, contractual commitments) or demonstrate 50% higher level of sequestration. plus at least three optional practices practices sequestration is likely to be maintained for 20 years or more (secure land rights, low threat of conversion, expense of conversion). plus at least three optional practices sequestration. plus at least three optional practices sequestration. plus at least three optional practices sequestration is likely to be maintained for 20% over ten years sequestration is likely to be maintained for 20% over ten years at that it will meet the 20% not below ground carbon by 20% over ten years. Solidation or an interest and that it here is low threat of land conversion. Optional practices: The corn FS purchases supports an increase in aboveground biomass and residue retention and organic matter amendments to the soil. FS' practice of direct planting of second-crop corn contributes to the carbon stock in the soil. In addition to the two plants already installed in Mato Grasso, FS has plans to install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop corn over the corn or promote second crop corn over the corn or contribute to the carbon contributes to the carbon stock in the soil. In addition to the two plants already installed in Mato Grasso, FS has plans to install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop corn over the corn or contribute to promote second crop corn over the corn or contributes to the carbon stock in the soil. In addition to the two plants already installed in matter and the corn of the carbon stock in the soil. In addition to the two plants already installed in matter and the corn of the carbon stock in the soil. In addition to the two plants already installed in matter and the corn of the carbon stock in the soil that the ties are the carbon stock in the soil that the tites and the carbon stock in the carbon s	líquido de	• Evidence that	the soil	activities,	been running	
likely to be maintained for 20 years or more (secure land rights, low threat of conversion, contractual commitments) or demonstrate 50% higher level of sequestration. plus at least three optional practices practices that increases soil organic will meet the 20% requirement over 10 years. 20% over ten years or demonstrate 50% higher level of sequestration. plus at least three optional practices practices: - Erosion has been avoided. - FS has confirmed that there is low threat of land conversion. Optional practices: - The corn FS purchases supports an increase in aboveground biomass and residue retention and organic matter amendments to the soil. - FS' practice of direct planting of second-crop corn contributes to the carbon stock in the soil. In addition to the two plants already installed in Mato Grasso, FS has plans to install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop	carbono					
20 years or more (secure land rights, low threat of conversion, contractual commitments) or demonstrate 50% higher level of sequestration. plus at least three optional practices practices 10 years 10 years 10 years 10 years 10 years 10 years 11 years 11 years 12 years 12 years 12 years 12 years 13 years 14 years 15 years 16 ye		likely to be	that increases	ate that it	producers	
more (secure land rights, low threat of conversion, contractual commitments) or demonstrate 50% higher level of sequestration. plus at least three optional practices practices pround carbon by years or demonstrate 50% higher level of sequestration. plus at least three optional practices practices increase in aboveground biomass and residue retention and organic matter amendments to the soil. • FS has confirmed that there is low threat of land conversion. Optional practices: • The corn FS purchases supports an increase in aboveground biomass and residue retention and organic matter amendments to the soil. • FS' practice of direct planting of second-crop corn contributes to the carbon stock in the soil. In addition to the two plants already installed in Mato Grasso, FS has plans to install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop			_			
threat of conversion, contractual commitments) or demonstrate 50% higher level of sequestration. plus at least three optional practices practices Discontinuous and residue retention and organic matter amendments to the soil. • FS' practice of direct planting of second-crop corn contributes to the soil. In addition to the two plants already installed in Mato Grasso, FS has plans to install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop corports and the second crop corn contributes to the soil.		•			•	
conversion, contractual commitments) or demonstrate 50% higher level of sequestration. plus at least three optional practices practices Optional practices: The corn FS purchases supports an increase in aboveground biomass and residue retention and organic matter amendments to the soil. FS' practice of practice of direct planting of second-crop corn contributes to the carbon stock in the soil. In addition to the two plants already installed in Mato Grasso, FS has plans to install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop promote second crop corn contribute to promote second crop promote second crop		land rights, low			•	
contractual commitments) or demonstrate 50% higher level of sequestration. plus at least three optional practices practices practices Optional practices: 'The corn FS purchases supports an increase in aboveground biomass and residue retention and organic matter amendments to the soil. FS has confirmed that there is low threat of land conversion. Optional practices: 'The corn FS purchases supports an increase in aboveground biomass and residue retention and organic matter amendments to the soil. FS' practice of direct planting of second-crop corn contributes to the carbon stock in the soil. In addition to the two plants already installed in Mato Grasso, FS has plans to install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop				years.		
or demonstrate \$50% higher level of sequestration. plus at least three optional practices - The corn FS purchases supports an increase in aboveground biomass and residue retention and organic matter amendments to the soil FS' practice of practice of direct planting of second-crop corn contributes to the carbon stock in the soil. In addition to the two plants already installed in Mato Grasso, FS has plans to install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop			years			
50% higher level of sequestration. plus at least three optional practices: three optional practices practices 1 The corn FS purchases supports an increase in aboveground biomass and residue retention and organic matter amendments to the soil. FS' practice of direct planting of second-crop corn contributes to the carbon stock in the soil. In addition to the two plants already installed in Mato Grasso, FS has plans to install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop		,				
of sequestration. plus at least three optional practices: - The corn FS purchases supports an increase in aboveground biomass and residue retention and organic matter amendments to the soil FS' practice of direct planting of second-crop corn contributes to the carbon stock in the soil. In addition to the two plants already installed in Mato Grasso, FS has plans to install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop						
plus at least three optional practices: three optional practices practices • The corn FS purchases supports an increase in aboveground biomass and residue retention and organic matter amendments to the soil. • FS' practice of direct planting of second-crop corn contributes to the carbon stock in the soil. In addition to the two plants already installed in Mato Grasso, FS has plans to install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop corn comote second crop con second crop con the two plants already installed in mato Grasso, FS has plans to install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop		of				
plus at least three optional practices three optional practices The corn FS purchases supports an increase in aboveground biomass and residue retention and organic matter amendments to the soil. FS' practice of practice of direct planting of second-crop corn contributes to the carbon stock in the soil. In addition to the two plants already installed in Mato Grasso, FS has plans to install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop econd crop corn contributes to the two plants already install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop		sequestration.			Optional	
practices purchases supports an increase in aboveground biomass and residue retention and organic matter amendments to the soil. • FS' practice of practice of direct planting of second-crop corn contributes to the carbon stock in the soil. In addition to the two plants already installed in Mato Grasso, FS has plans to install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop					practices:	
supports an increase in aboveground biomass and residue retention and organic matter amendments to the soil. • FS' practice of direct planting of second-crop corn contributes to the carbon stock in the soil. In addition to the two plants already installed in Mato Grasso, FS has plans to install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop		•				
aboveground biomass and residue retention and organic matter amendments to the soil. • FS' practice of practice of practice of practice of direct planting of second-crop corn contributes to the carbon stock in the soil. In addition to the two plants already installed in Mato Grasso, FS has plans to install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop		practices			•	
biomass and residue retention and organic matter amendments to the soil. • FS' practice of practice of direct planting of second-crop corn contributes to the carbon stock in the soil. In addition to the two plants already installed in Mato Grasso, FS has plans to install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop						
residue retention and organic matter amendments to the soil. • FS' practice of practice of direct planting of second-crop corn contributes to the carbon stock in the soil. In addition to the two plants already installed in Mato Grasso, FS has plans to install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop					_	
organic matter amendments to the soil. • FS' practice of practice of practice of direct planting of second-crop corn contributes to the carbon stock in the soil. In addition to the two plants already installed in Mato Grasso, FS has plans to install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop					residue	
amendments to the soil. • FS' practice of practice of direct planting of second-crop corn contributes to the carbon stock in the soil. In addition to the two plants already installed in Mato Grasso, FS has plans to install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop						
• FS' practice of practice of direct planting of second-crop corn contributes to the carbon stock in the soil. In addition to the two plants already installed in Mato Grasso, FS has plans to install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop					•	
of practice of direct planting of second-crop corn contributes to the carbon stock in the soil. In addition to the two plants already installed in Mato Grasso, FS has plans to install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop						
direct planting of second-crop corn contributes to the carbon stock in the soil. In addition to the two plants already installed in Mato Grasso, FS has plans to install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop						
corn contributes to the carbon stock in the soil. In addition to the two plants already installed in Mato Grasso, FS has plans to install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop					direct planting	
contributes to the carbon stock in the soil. In addition to the two plants already installed in Mato Grasso, FS has plans to install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop					Ī	
stock in the soil. In addition to the two plants already installed in Mato Grasso, FS has plans to install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop						
soil. In addition to the two plants already installed in Mato Grasso, FS has plans to install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop						
to the two plants already installed in Mato Grasso, FS has plans to install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop						
installed in Mato Grasso, FS has plans to install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop						
Mato Grasso, FS has plans to install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop						
FS has plans to install four more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop						
more plants by 2030, with the intention to promote second crop					FS has plans	
2030, with the intention to promote second crop						
promote second crop						
second crop						
					'	
					corn over the	
long term.					long term.	
Management Increase in of biomass aboveground None PS achieved a Compliant 28% increase				None		Compliant
for net carbon biomass in second-crop Sustainalytics	for net carbon	biomass				
sequestration (grassland/past productivity views this level	sequestration					
ure productivity, between 2005 of disclosure to and 2016. be aligned with	Manejo da					
biomassa agroforestry) by Specifically, the criteria's	biomassa	agroforestry) by			Specifically,	the criteria's
para at least 20% the area requirements. sequestro cultivated with	•	at least 20%				requirements.



1/:	Entidous and design		al a calada a a a a a	
líquido de carbono	Evidence that aboveground biomass carbon sequestration is likely to be maintained for 20 years or more (secure land rights, low threat of conversion, contractual commitments) or demonstrate 50% higher level of sequestration.		double-crop systems increased from 6.58 to 8.43 million hectares during 2005 to 2016. • FS confirmed that it meets the requirement of a 20% increase over ten years.	
Energy, including energy embedded in inputs Energia, incluindo a energia incorporada aos insumos	• Energy efficient traction, irrigation, and storage (falls in top 25% of energy efficiency rates for equipment available in country) OR Use of only renewable energy	None	• FS has confirmed that it only uses renewable biomass sources. Rural Producers in the region where FS plants are installed have been following innovations and technology in relation to efficient machinery, with better performance and lower fuel consumption. Agriculture Equipment Companies frequently launch new equipment and implements. FS has confirmed that it does not use irrigation due to the favourable weather conditions in the State of	Sustainalytics views this level of disclosure to be aligned with the criteria's requirements.
Residue	Sustainable	None	Mato Grosso. Confirmed - FS	Compliant.
Management Manejo de Resíduos	use of residues		only purchases crops that adhere to Brazil's	Sustainalytics views this level of disclosure to



				overarching	he aligned with
				overarching	be aligned with the criteria's
				legislation for chemical use.	
				Chemical use. This includes	requirements.
				Ordinance No.	
				84 which	
				oversees	
				environmental	
				monitoring	
				regarding	
				pesticides.6	
				The Normative	
				Instruction No.	
				4 sets a	
				requirement	
				for	
				environmental	
				risk	
				assessment	
				for the use of	
				any new	
				pesticides. At	
				the state level,	
				the Mato	
				Grosso	
				Institute for	
				Agricultural	
				Economics	
				monitors and	
				measures the	
				use and	
				impacts of	
				fertilizers in	
				the region.	
Food loss	 No mycotoxins 		None	Confirmed - In	Compliant.
	or other			the field,	
Perda de	contaminated			producers are	Sustainalytics
alimentos	growing			instructed to	views this level
	conditions that			carry out	of disclosure to
	could result in			fungicide	be aligned with
	reduced yields.			applications	the criteria's
	_			guided by	requirements.
				institutions	
				and suppliers.	
				These	
				fungicides	
				protect the	
				plant against	
				pathogens	
				(fungi) like	
				those that	
				produce	
				mycotoxins. In	
				addition, FS	
				performs	
				analysis of	
				quality	
				classification	
				in the receipt	
]	<u> </u>		in the receipt	

 $^{^{6}\} Brazilian\ Pesticide\ Regulation\ Overview:\ https://agrochemical.chemlinked.com/chempedia/brazilian-pesticide-regulation-overview$



			of grains; we carry out quality control in storage (temperature and humidity) and we monitor the final products with laboratory analyzes that guarantee the quality of all our products.	
Flooded rice (if applicable) Arroz irrigado por inundação (se aplicável)	• Days of flooding reduced by 10%	None	N/A	
Peatlands (if applicable) Turfeiras (se aplicável)	• Peatland restoration	None	N/A	

Appendix 5: Adaptation and Resilience Criteria for a crop production unit

Adaptation and resilience checklist for assessment of the whole agricultural production unit

Adaptation and resilience checklist for the whole agricultural production unit		Submitted
	 Clear boundaries and critical interdependencies between the and the system it operates within are identified. 	he farm holding
1.1	Boundaries of the production unit(s) are defined using (1) a listing of all farm holdings and associated assets and activities associated with the use of the bond proceeds, (2) a map of their location, and (3) identification of the expected operational life of the activity, asset or project.	Submitted - FS uses Agrotools to check and identify all the areas its suppliers are located in Mato Grosso. The platform includes a map of each suppliers' location.
1.2	Critical interdependencies between the farm holding and the system within which it operates are identified. Identification of these interdependencies should consider the potential for adverse impacts arising from: (1) the effects of water use or pollution on other water users or erosion in the watershed; (2) relationships of the asset/project to nearby flood zones; (3) introduction of pests and diseases; S (4) reduction in pollinating insects and birds; (5) reduction in biodiversity or High Conservation Value habitat; (6) damage or reduction in value of neighbours' property due to boundary trees, other structures at risk of falling during storm events, agricultural pests and disease; (7) fire and other practices that affect air quality; (8) market influences, such as excess supply which drives down prices;	Submitted – Climate Risk Analysis and Climate Change Adaptation Plan FS Bioenergia (Section 5.5 – page 40) FS has conducted an analysis of the potential effects of the assets on climate risk and other actors. This analysis considered impacts that can arise from lack of vegetation, extreme and concentrated rainfall, and the loss of soil ecological functions. FS' collaboration wit hits suppliers (through courses and lectures on the potential effects of its assets on climate risk) is viewed to be essential and interdependent.



An assessment has been undertaken to identify the key physical production unit will be exposed and vulnerable to over its or production.	
8. CO2 concentrations: generally expected to create positive effect due to CO2 fertilization and stimulate growth and carbohydrate production, but risks changes in nutritional content and density, such as protein, sugars and essential minerals, for example in wheat, rice, and potatoes.33	
The measures that have or will be taken to address those r production unit(s) are suitable to climate change condition	
3.1 Risk reduction measures are implemented for all key risks to the production unit. These should enable the production unit to meet an average annual productivity threshold under a range of expected climate hazards for the duration of the investment period. The minimum productivity threshold is determined by the average level of yield loss, compared to average production over five years, for at least three comparable holdings with five years or more of production. Where comparable holdings are not available, the minimum productivity threshold will be calculated as 10% less than the mean annual productivity over five previous years where no extreme climate events occurred.	Submitted – Climate Risk Analysis and Climate Change Adaptation Plan FS Bioenergia (Table 12 – page 44). While FS has not specified a minimum productivity threshold, this level of disclosure is viewed to be satisfactory.
3.2 Risk reduction measures must be tolerant to a range of climate hazards and not lock-in conditions that could result in maladaptation.	Submitted – Climate Risk Analysis and Climate Change Adaptation Plan FS Bioenergia (Table 13 – page 46)
	18

(9) appropriation of land or economic assets from nearby vulnerable groups; and (10) overuse of inputs



	 The measures that have or will be taken do no harm to the operate within, as indicated by the boundaries of and critic 	
4.1	as identified in item 1 in this checklist An assessment is conducted to demonstrate that the production unit does not pose significant risk of harm to others' natural, social or financial assets according to the principle of best available evidence during the investment period taking into account the production unit's boundaries and critical interdependencies as defined in Criteria 1. Harm is defined as an adverse effect on any of the following: (1) the effects of water use or pollution on other water users or erosion in the watershed; (2) increased risk of flooding; (3) introduction of pests and diseases; (4) reduction in pollinating insects and birds; (5) reduction in biodiversity or High Conservation Value habitat (6) damage or reduction in value of neighbours' property due to boundary trees, other structures at risk of falling during storm events, agricultural pests and disease; (7) fire and other practices that affect air quality, (8) market influences, such as flooding a market with a commodity and driving down prices, (9) appropriation of land or economic assets from nearby vulnerable groups, (10) overuse of inputs, (11) decline in the productivity of an asset, or (12) decline in conditions below an applicable policy standard, (13) no use of chemicals listed in the Stockholm Convention or 1a or 1b in the WHO classification of pesticides by hazard or not in compliance with the Rotterdam Convention	Submitted – Climate Risk Analysis and Climate Change Adaptation Plan FS Bioenergia (Section 5.5 – page 40) FS conducted an analysis of the potential effects of its assets on the climate risks of other actors.
	 The issuer is required to demonstrate that there will be one relevance of the risks and resilience measures and related taken as needed. 	
5.1	Indicators for risks identified under item 2 in this checklist are provided.	Submitted – Climate Risk Analysis and Climate Change Adaptation Plan FS Bioenergia (Table 13 – page 46)
5.2	Indicators for resilience measures identified under item 3 in this checklist are provided	Submitted – Climate Risk Analysis and Climate Change Adaptation Plan FS Bioenergia (Table 12 – page 44)
5.3	Indicators for "no harm" to relevant system assets identified under item 3 in this checklist are provided.	Submitted – Climate Risk Analysis and Climate Change Adaptation Plan FS Bioenergia (Section 5.5 – page 40)
5.4	Issuers have a viable plan to annually monitor (a) climate risks linked to the production unit, (b) climate resilience performance, (c) appropriateness of climate resilience intervention(s) and to adjust as necessary to address evolving climate risks.	Submitted – Climate Risk Analysis and Climate Change Adaptation Plan FS Bioenergia (Section 5.7 – page 43 and Table 12 – page 44) FS has established an internal climate-focused team to oversee this process and adjust as needed to evolving climate risks.
5.5	Issuers have a process for monitoring and evaluation and this is done annually.	Submitted – Climate Risk Analysis and Climate Change Adaptation Plan FS Bioenergia (Section 5.7 – page 43)



		FS currently aims to update its Climate Risk Analysis plan every five years with the help of an independent third-party and is structuring itself to monitor the plan's actions annually.
5.6	A grievance redress mechanism is in place to enable stakeholders to identify unanticipated adverse impacts, including biases of investments away from high risk locations and assets.	Submitted – Climate Risk Analysis and Climate Change Adaptation Plan FS Bioenergia (Section 4.1.2– page 10)



Disclaimer

Copyright ©2021 Sustainalytics. All rights reserved.

The information, methodologies and opinions contained or reflected herein are proprietary of Sustainalytics and/or its third party suppliers (Third Party Data), and may be made available to third parties only in the form and format disclosed by Sustainalytics, or provided that appropriate citation and acknowledgement is ensured. They are provided for informational purposes only and (1) do not constitute an endorsement of any product or project; (2) do not constitute investment advice, financial advice or a prospectus; (3) cannot be interpreted as an offer or indication to buy or sell securities, to select a project or make any kind of business transactions; (4) do not represent an assessment of the issuer's economic performance, financial obligations nor of its creditworthiness; and/or (5) have not and cannot be incorporated into any offering disclosure.

These are based on information made available by the issuer and therefore are not warranted as to their merchantability, completeness, accuracy, up-to-dateness or fitness for a particular purpose. The information and data are provided "as is" and reflect Sustainalytics` opinion at the date of their elaboration and publication. Sustainalytics accepts no liability for damage arising from the use of the information, data or opinions contained herein, in any manner whatsoever, except where explicitly required by law. Any reference to third party names or Third Party Data is for appropriate acknowledgement of their ownership and does not constitute a sponsorship or endorsement by such owner. A list of our third-party data providers and their of use is our website. For more respective terms available on information. visit http://www.sustainalytics.com/legal-disclaimers.

The issuer is fully responsible for certifying and ensuring the compliance with its commitments, for their implementation and monitoring.

In case of discrepancies between the English language and translated versions, the English language version shall prevail.



About Sustainalytics, a Morningstar Company

Sustainalytics, a Morningstar Company, is a leading ESG research, ratings and data firm that supports investors around the world with the development and implementation of responsible investment strategies. The firm works with hundreds of the world's leading asset managers and pension funds who incorporate ESG and corporate governance information and assessments into their investment processes. The world's foremost issuers, from multinational corporations to financial institutions to governments, also rely on Sustainalytics for credible second-party opinions on green, social and sustainable bond frameworks. In 2020, Climate Bonds Initiative named Sustainalytics the "Largest Approved Verifier for Certified Climate Bonds" for the third consecutive year. The firm was also recognized by Environmental Finance as the "Largest External Reviewer" in 2020 for the second consecutive year. For more information, visit www.sustainalytics.com.









