

RATING ACTION COMMENTARY

Fitch Revises Azul's Outlook to Negative; Affirms IDR at 'B-'

Thu 11 Jul, 2024 - 17:40 ET

Fitch Ratings - Rio de Janeiro - 11 Jul 2024: Fitch Ratings has affirmed Azul S.A.'s (Azul) Long-Term Foreign and Local Currency Issuer Default Ratings (IDRs) at 'B-' and its Long-Term National Scale rating at 'BB(bra)'. Fitch has also affirmed Azul Investments LLP's unsecured bonds at 'CCC+'/'RR5', as well as Azul Secured Finance LLP's senior secured notes at 'B-'/'RR4'. The Rating Outlook of Azul's IDRs has been revised to Negative from Stable. Fitch has assigned a Negative Outlook to Azul's Long-Term National Scale Rating.

The Negative Outlook reflects Azul's cash flow burn pressures from its high lease and interest expense burden, BRL depreciation and fuel price volatilities and its significant dependence on accessing credit market to fund its free cash flow generation and to maintain healthy liquidity levels.

Azul's ratings are supported by its strong regional market position in the Brazilian domestic airlines industry and solid operating margins, as well as its limited geographic diversification and foreign exchange (FX) exposure. In terms of leverage, Azul's credit metrics are relatively adequate but the high operating leverage (pos-pandemic restructuring) and its ongoing capex program require additional funding. Azul has limited financial flexibility given its already high secured debt basis.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Challenge to Access Credit Lines: Azul's ability to continue to access new credit lines, seeking to support its liquidity position and fund cash burn/negative free cash flow generation are key factors to its ratings. The company faces important business seasonality with a large portion of its cash flow being generated during second half of the year, most notable during the fourth quarter. The current scenario of BRL depreciation and fuel price volatilities put further pressure on its cash flow in the short-term. During 1H24, Fitch estimates that Azul has raised around BRL1.5 billion, including bond re-tap and local issuance, which helped to sustain liquidity position.

Ongoing Refinancing Risks: The need to fund the recurring negative free cash flow generation and equity notes are Azul's main refinancing issues until 2027. Azul's major outstanding bonds mature on 2028, 2029 and 2030. In the short to medium term, Azul's faces maturity of its unsecured 2024 and 2026 bonds (USD68 million and USD32 million, respectively), and instalments of its lease notes and equity instruments. During second half of 2024 Azul faces around BRL841 million of debt maturities, including outstanding bond due 2024 (USD68 million). For 2025-2027, the company starts to face larger maturities of its lease equity instrument.

Pressures on EBITDA Growing: Fitch expects Azul's adjusted EBITDA to continue to improve during 2024 and 2025 due to the solid domestic traffic level as well as cost efficiencies, including fleet modernization, and capacity expansion. As mentioned, the exogenous factors (FX rate and fuel) are expected to put some pressure on cash flow this year. Fitch forecasts Azul's adjusted EBITDA to reach around BRL6.2 billion in 2024 and BRL7.2 billion in 2025, an increase from BRL5.0 billion in 2023 and BRL3.6 billion during 2019 (pre-pandemic).

EBITDA margin are expected around 30%-32%. Azul's traffic levels have been above 2019's levels since mid-2021, given the company's strong growth strategy. Fitch estimates Azul's 2024 total traffic volume are around 28% higher than 2019, and capacity level at 33%.

FCF to Remain Negative: In Fitch's forecasts, Azul's free cash flow generation remains negative during 2024 and 2025, at around BRL1.3 billion and BRL1.5 billion, respectively. The high leasing and interest expenses post-restructuring after the COVID-19 pandemic and its ongoing growth strategy and fleet modernization further dent its operating cash flow generation. For 2024 and 2025, Fitch estimates capex of around BRL2.1 billion and BRL2.5 billion, respectively. The fleet modernization is a key pillar on Azul's strategy to improve profitability. The company expects to double its E2 fleet by 2025, and those aircraft brings around 26% reduction per cost per seat.

Improving Credit Metrics: The ongoing improvements of EBITDA generation are expected to help restore its credit profile in terms of leverage. Fitch's base case scenario forecasts the company's total and net adjusted leverage/EBITDAR ratios at around 4.5x and 4.2x, respectively, during 2024, an improvement from the 2023 levels of 5.3x and 4.8x. Fitch calculates Azul's total debt at BRL27.7 billion, which also includes convertible debentures, leases notes and leases equity instrument. Lessors exchanged COVID lease deferrals for notes due 2030 and equity instrument convertible into Azul's shares (40/60 split).

Strong Domestic Market Position: Azul's credit profile benefits from its unique regional airline market position in Brazil, with a strong presence in underserved markets and limited route overlap with competitors, GOL Linhas Aereas Inteligentes S.A. and LATAM Airlines Group S.A. (LATAM). Azul is the sole provider of services on 82% of its routes and is one of the three largest airline companies in Brazil, with a market share of around 29%, as measured by revenues/passenger/kilometer (RPK) in 2023.

As Brazil is the company's key market, Azul's operating results are highly correlated to the Brazilian economy. During 2023, 93% of its revenues derived from passengers and 7% from cargo and others, and 79% of its revenues were originated within local market.

Potential Merger with GOL: The current rating does not incorporate any consolidation movement. Azul has been vocal on its strategy to consolidated the market and it is considering a potential transaction with GOL Linhas Aereas Inteligentes S.A. (GOL; IDR, D), that is going through Chapter 11 Process in US. It is still unclear the final terms of the deal and the proforma capital structure of the combined entity.

DERIVATION SUMMARY

Azul has a weaker position relative to global peers given its limited geographic diversification, higher operating leverage and weaker financial flexibility. In terms of its regional peers, it has a weaker position compared to LATAM (BB-/Positive) and Avianca Group International Limited (B/Stable) in terms of business diversification, liquidity and financial flexibility, but has a stronger cash position compared to GOL Linhas Aereas Inteligentes S.A. (D). Different from the others, Azul has not completed a debt haircut as part of the debt restructuring post COVID, like LATAM and Avianca did.

Azul's strong position in the Brazilian regional market and high operating margins have been key rating drivers. Foreign exchange risk exposure is a negative credit factor for Azul considering its limited geographic diversification; the company operates currency hedging which only partially mitigates this risk.

Fitch expects LATAM and Avianca to maintain gross leverage around 2.5x and 3.5x in the next two years, while Azul is still to move around 4.5x in 2024. Azul's leasing and interest burden and ongoing capex program implies in much higher risks to fund its sizeable negative free cash flow. In terms of EBITDA coverage, Azul is expected to report 1.3x in 2024, while LATAM and Avianca 2.3x and 4.2x.

In terms of liquidity position, measured as cash over LTM revenues, Azul also has weaker metric (around 10%-12%) compared to LATAM (24%, including revolving facility) and Avianca (around 15-20%). LATAM has a sizeable stand-by credit facility of USD1.1 billion.

KEY ASSUMPTIONS

- --Fitch's base case during 2024 and 2025 includes an increase in ASK by 9% and RPK of around 8%:
- --Load factors around 79% during 2024 and 2025;
- -- Adjusted EBITDAR Margin of around 30%-32% in 2024 and 2025
- --Capex of BRL2.1 billion in 2024 and BRL2.5 billion in 2025

RECOVERY ANALYSIS

KEY RECOVERY RATING ASSUMPTIONS

The recovery analysis assumes that AZUL would be considered a going concern in bankruptcy and that the company would be reorganized rather than liquidated. Fitch has assumed a 10% administrative claim.

Going-Concern Approach: AZUL's going concern EBITDA is BRL2.5 billion which incorporates the low-end expectations of Azul's EBITDA post-pandemic, adjusted by lease expenses, plus a discount of 20%. The going-concern EBITDA estimate reflects Fitch's view of a sustainable, post-reorganization EBITDA level, upon which Fitch bases the valuation of the company. The enterprise value (EV)/EBITDA multiple applied is 5.5x, reflecting AZUL's strong market position in the Brazil.

Fitch applies a waterfall analysis to the post-default EV based on the relative claims of the debt in the capital structure. The debt waterfall assumptions consider the company's total debt as of March 31 2024. These assumptions result in a recovery rate for the first-lien secured bonds within the 'RR1' range and second-lien secured notes within 'RR2' range, but due to the soft cap of Brazil at 'RR4', Azul's senior secured are rated at 'B-'/'RR4'. For the unsecured notes, the recovery is within the RR5 range, therefore results in a rating downgrade from the IDR, being rated at 'CCC+'/'RR5'.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action/Upgrade

- --Improved liquidity position, and maintenance of well-spread debt amortization profile with no major refinancing risks in the medium term;
- --Gross and net leverage ratios consistently below 4.5x and 4.0x;
- --EBITDAR fixed-charge coverage sustained at or above 1.5x;

- --FCF generation above Fitch's base case expectations;
- --Continued solid rebound of the Brazilian domestic air traffic and healthy yields scenario;

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action/Downgrade

- --Liquidity deterioration and/ or difficulties to continue to access credit lines;
- --Gross and net leverage ratios consistently above 5.5x and 5.0x;
- --EBITDA fixed-charge coverage sustained at or below 1x;
- -- Competitive pressures leading to severe loss in market-share or yield deterioration;
- --Aggressive growth strategy leading to consolidation movement financed with debt.

LIQUIDITY AND DEBT STRUCTURE

Refinancing Risks: Azul's liquidity position is poor in light of the recurring negative free cash flow and refinancing risks. As of March 31 2024, Azul's total debt, calculated by Fitch, was BRL27.7 billion, with BRL4.9 billion in short term (BRL3.7 billion of leasing obligations) and BRL1.4 billion of cash readily available. During 2023 and LTM March 2024, Azul's cash to LTM revenue were 12% and 7%, respectively. Fitch expects Azul to maintain this ratio around 9%-10% during 2024 and 2025.

Per Fitch's calculation, Azul's total debt also includes convertible debentures, leases, notes and leases equity instrument. The company faces maturities of BRL1.5 billion during April-Dec 2024, BRL1.2 billion in 2025, BRL1.4 billion in 2026, BRL1.1 billion in 2027, BRL6.0 billion in 2028 and remaining amount (BRL6 billion) spread 2029 on. Azul has limited financial flexibility given its already high secured debt basis. During 1H24, Fitch estimates that Azul has raised around BRL1.6 billion, including bond re-tap and local issuance, which helped to sustain liquidity position.

ISSUER PROFILE

Azul is one of Brazil's largest local airlines, with significant presence in the regional market and being the sole player on 82% of its routes. During 2023, 93% of its revenues were derived from passengers and 7% from cargo and others.

REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

ESG CONSIDERATIONS

The highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3', unless otherwise disclosed in this section. A score of '3' means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores are not inputs in the rating process; they are an observation on the relevance and materiality of ESG factors in the rating decision. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit

https://www.fitchratings.com/topics/esg/products#esg-relevance-scores.

RATING ACTIONS

ENTITY/DEBT \$	RATING \$	RECOVERY \$	PRIOR \$
AZUL Investments			
senior unsecured	LT CCC+ Affirmed	RR5	CCC+
Azul S.A.	LT IDR B- Rating Outlook Negative Affirmed		B- Rating Outlook Stable
	LC LT IDR B- Rating Outlook Negative Affirmed		B- Rating Outlook Stable

	Nat	BB(bra)				
	BB(I					
Affirmed						
Azul Secured Finance LLP						
senior secured	LT	B-	Affirmed	RR4	В-	
Senior Secured 2nd Lien	LT	B-	Affirmed	RR4	B-	

VIEW ADDITIONAL RATING DETAILS

FITCH RATINGS ANALYSTS

Debora Jalles

Director

Primary Rating Analyst

+55 21 4503 2621

debora.jalles@fitchratings.com

Fitch Ratings Brasil Ltda.

Av. Barão de Tefé, 27 - Sala 601 Saúde Rio de Janeiro, RJ 20220-460

Francisco Mercadal

Associate Director

Secondary Rating Analyst

+56 2 3321 2912

francisco.mercadal@fitchratings.com

Martha Rocha

Managing Director

Committee Chairperson

+12129080591

martha.rocha@fitchratings.com

MEDIA CONTACTS

Elizabeth Fogerty

New York

+12129080526

elizabeth.fogerty@thefitchgroup.com

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

PARTICIPATION STATUS

The rated entity (and/or its agents) or, in the case of structured finance, one or more of the transaction parties participated in the rating process except that the following issuer(s), if any, did not participate in the rating process, or provide additional information, beyond the issuer's available public disclosure.

APPLICABLE CRITERIA

National Scale Rating Criteria (pub. 22 Dec 2020)

Country-Specific Treatment of Recovery Ratings Criteria (pub. 03 Mar 2023)

Parent and Subsidiary Linkage Rating Criteria (pub. 16 Jun 2023)

Corporates Recovery Ratings and Instrument Ratings Criteria (pub. 13 Oct 2023) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

Corporate Rating Criteria (pub. 03 Nov 2023) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

Sector Navigators - Addendum to the Corporate Rating Criteria (pub. 21 Jun 2024)

APPLICABLE MODELS

Numbers in parentheses accompanying applicable model(s) contain hyperlinks to criteria providing description of model(s).

Corporate Monitoring & Forecasting Model (COMFORT Model), v8.1.0 (1)

ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES

Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form

Solicitation Status

Endorsement Policy

ENDORSEMENT STATUS

AZUL Investments LLP EU Endorsed, UK Endorsed
Azul S.A. EU Endorsed, UK Endorsed
Azul Secured Finance LLP EU Endorsed, UK Endorsed

DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link:

https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings. In addition, the following https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. ESMA and the FCA are required to publish historical default rates in a central repository in accordance with Articles 11(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 and The Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 respectively.

Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the thirdparty verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its

reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed. Fitch Ratings makes routine, commonly-accepted adjustments to reported financial data in accordance with the relevant criteria and/or industry standards to provide financial metric consistency for entities in the same sector or asset class.

The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Fitch also provides information on best-case rating upgrade scenarios and worst-case rating downgrade scenarios (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in each direction) for international credit ratings, based on historical performance. A simple average across asset classes presents best-case upgrades of 4 notches and worst-case downgrades of 8 notches at the 99th percentile. For more details on sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, please see Best- and Worst-Case Measures under the Rating Performance page on Fitch's website.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for

rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001. Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

dvO1, a Fitch Solutions company, and an affiliate of Fitch Ratings, may from time to time serve as loan data agent on certain structured finance transactions rated by Fitch Ratings.

Copyright © 2024 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.

READ LESS

SOLICITATION STATUS

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained by Fitch at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

ENDORSEMENT POLICY

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's Regulatory Affairs page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.